United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Mamoudou Bathily			Case Number: 1:08 MJ 315
acts re		accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this	142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following case.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describ offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparate The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state since the defendant from
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defend	te Findings (A) dant has committed an offense of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption of	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions endant as required and the safety of the community.
X		There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	te Findings (B) appear. anger the safety of another person or the community.
	l fin		nent of Reasons for Detention nitted at the hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that
elig and kno per pla offi	gible for the action of the control	or citizenship because of his marriage to a woman in the second of the s	ince 1999. His immigration status is unclear. Although he claims to be in Texas, there is no evidence that he has lived in Texas in the recent past, Grand Rapids. He says that a child was born of the marriage, but does not nnesota. The evidence indicates that defendant supports himself by claims to live and work in New York, yet he drives a car with Minnesota essions well outstrip the income that he admits to. When he was arrested, ith a victim's name but defendant's picture. Literally nothing that defendant is patently false on its face.
appeal he Uni	ions f . The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attor facility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers e defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opporture	ons Regarding Detention rney General or his designated representative for confinement in a sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending nity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ppearance in connection with a court proceeding.
			/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
Date			Signature of Judge
			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge